

Decision No: CAB380 – 17/03/11

Forward Plan No: CAB19768

This record relates to Agenda Item 219 on the agenda for the Decision-Making

## **RECORD OF CABINET KEY DECISION**

<b>DECISION-MAKER:</b>	CABINET
<b>PORTFOLIO AREA:</b>	CHIEF EXECUTIVE
<b>SUBJECT:</b>	INTELLIGENT COMMISSIONING PILOT - DOMESTIC VIOLENCE
<b>AUTHOR:</b>	LINDA BEANLANDS

### **THE DECISION**

- (1) That Cabinet notes the effective impact of using the Intelligent Commissioning Framework on the future commissioning of domestic violence services.
- (2) That Cabinet approves the city wide strategic outcomes for commissioning of domestic violence services which are set out in appendix 1.
- (3) That Cabinet notes that, following its approval, the outcomes are to be returned to the partnership groups and organisations which also have responsibility for overseeing commissioning and delivery of domestic violence services. A further report will be presented to Cabinet on the full commissioning plan including service level outcomes. That report will also provide more detail on learning from the use of the Intelligent Commissioning Pilots.

### **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

Approval for the recommendations is sought within the process of change as Brighton & Hove moves towards establishing an Intelligent Commissioning Framework for the City.

### **DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

Alternative options to the recommendations that are set out in this report have been carefully considered, informed by analysis of the needs assessments and service mapping. Those recommendations put forward are supported by a clear evidence base and rationale

### **OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS CONCERNING THE DECISION**

None

**CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

None.

**CONFIRMED AS A TRUE RECORD:**

**We certify that the decision this document records was made in accordance with the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2000 and is a true and accurate record of that decision**

**Date:**

07 April 2011

**Decision Maker:**

Councillor Mary Mears  
Leader of the Council

**Signed:**



**Proper Officer:**

07 April 2011

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

**Signed:**



**SCRUTINY**

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision.

*Or: This decision is urgent and not subject to call-in (date of CE's agreement to urgency of decision).*

**Call-In Period**

8-14 April 2011

**Date of Call-in** *(if applicable) (this suspends implementation)*

**Call-in Procedure completed** *(if applicable)*

**Call-in heard by** *(if applicable)*

**Results of Call-in** *(if applicable)*

Decision No: CAB381 – 17/03/11

Forward Plan No: CAB20211

This record relates to Agenda Item 220 on the agenda for the Decision-Making

## **RECORD OF CABINET KEY DECISION**

<b>DECISION-MAKER:</b>	CABINET
<b>PORTFOLIO AREA:</b>	PLACE
<b>SUBJECT:</b>	2011/12 LOCAL TRANSPORT PLAN CAPITAL PROGRAMME
<b>AUTHOR:</b>	ANDREW RENAUT

### **THE DECISION**

- (1) Cabinet approves the allocation of £3.35 million worth of funding for the 2011/12 Local Transport Plan capital programme to the Maintenance and Integrated Transport work programmes set out in Appendix 1 of this report.

### **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

To approve the allocation of the 2011/12 LTP3 capital programme to projects and enable works to be continued or started.

### **DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

The proposed programme includes a number of project commitments established within the 2010/11 capital programme and is consistent with the LTP3 Strategy and Delivery Plan principles. The proposed allocation of capital investment will assist in delivering the government's national goals and local transport objectives.

### **OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS CONCERNING THE DECISION**

None

### **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

None.

### **CONFIRMED AS A TRUE RECORD:**

**We certify that the decision this document records was made in accordance with the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2000 and is a true and accurate record of that decision**

**Date:**

07 April 2011

**Decision Maker:**

Councillor Mary Mears  
Leader of the Council

**Signed:**



**Proper Officer:**

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

**Signed:**



**SCRUTINY**

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision.

*Or: This decision is urgent and not subject to call-in (date of CE's agreement to urgency of decision).*

**Call-In Period**

8-14 April 2011

**Date of Call-in** *(if applicable) (this suspends implementation)*

**Call-in Procedure completed** *(if applicable)*

**Call-in heard by** *(if applicable)*

**Results of Call-in** *(if applicable)*

Decision No: CAB382 – 17/03/11

Forward Plan No:

This record relates to Agenda Item 221 on the agenda for the Decision-Making

## RECORD OF CABINET DECISION

**DECISION-MAKER:** CABINET

**PORTFOLIO AREA:** PLACE

**SUBJECT:** DEVELOPMENT OF TRANSPORT MODEL

**AUTHOR:** TOM CAMPBELL

### THE DECISION

- (1) That Cabinet notes the progress made in developing the new transport model for the city.

### REASON FOR THE DECISION

To notify the Cabinet of the progress that has been made with the development of the model during 2010/11.

### DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

#### Do Nothing

The transport model is considered essential to allow assessment of potential transport schemes, proposed developments, and land use strategies. Without a model, the council would have a less robust and consistent basis for decision-making and less understanding of the likely implications of making changes to the transport network, or of increases in movement and activity.

#### Develop an alternative type of model

The specification of the model has been designed to comply with government guidance, thus allowing it to be used to support any future major transport scheme business case, especially if the scheme required government funding.

### OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS CONCERNING THE DECISION

None

### CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None.

**CONFIRMED AS A TRUE RECORD:**

**We certify that the decision this document records was made in accordance with the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2000 and is a true and accurate record of that decision**


**Date:**

07 April 2011

**Decision Maker:**

Councillor Mary Mears  
Leader of the Council

**Signed:**



**Proper Officer:**

07 April 2011

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

**Signed:**



**SCRUTINY**

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision.

*Or: This decision is urgent and not subject to call-in (date of CE's agreement to urgency of decision).*

**Call-In Period**

8-14 April 2011

**Date of Call-in** *(if applicable) (this suspends implementation)*

**Call-in Procedure completed** *(if applicable)*

**Call-in heard by** *(if applicable)*

**Results of Call-in** *(if applicable)*

Decision No: CAB383 – 17/03/11

Forward Plan No: CAB21502

This record relates to Agenda Item 222 on the agenda for the Decision-Making

## **RECORD OF CABINET KEY DECISION**

<b>DECISION-MAKER:</b>	CABINET
<b>PORTFOLIO AREA:</b>	RESOURCES
<b>SUBJECT:</b>	ASSET MANAGEMENT FUND 2011/12
<b>AUTHOR:</b>	ANGELA DYMOTT, NIGEL MCCUTCHEON

### **THE DECISION**

- (1) That Cabinet approves the recommended remaining allocation of AMF bids totalling £0.5 million, as detailed in paragraph 3.3 of this report.

### **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

To approve the remaining balance of the AMF financial allocation and the recommended bids as detailed at paragraph 3.3 and Appendix A for property improvements, access requirements under the Equalities Act 2010 and property related Health & Safety requirements for 2011-2012.

### **DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

Failure to improve the council's main office accommodation, address property related access obligations under the Equalities Act 2010 and property related Health & Safety legislation would increase council risks and liabilities, inhibit service delivery, may lead to a negative perception of the council, reduce the value of our assets and prevent fulfilling the council's priorities, aims and objectives as stated in the Corporate Property Strategy and Asset Management Plan 2008-2011.

### **OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS CONCERNING THE DECISION**

None

### **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

None.

**CONFIRMED AS A TRUE RECORD:**

**We certify that the decision this document records was made in accordance with the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2000 and is a true and accurate record of that decision**


**Date:**

07 April 2011

**Decision Maker:**

Councillor Mary Mears  
Leader of the Council

**Signed:**



**Proper Officer:**

07 April 2011

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

**Signed:**



**SCRUTINY**

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision.

*Or: This decision is urgent and not subject to call-in (date of CE's agreement to urgency of decision).*

**Call-In Period**

8-14 April 2011

**Date of Call-in** *(if applicable) (this suspends implementation)*

**Call-in Procedure completed** *(if applicable)*

**Call-in heard by** *(if applicable)*

**Results of Call-in** *(if applicable)*



Decision No: CAB384 – 17/03/11

Forward Plan No: CAB19907

This record relates to Agenda Item 223 on the agenda for the Decision-Making

## RECORD OF CABINET DECISION

<b>DECISION-MAKER:</b>	CABINET
<b>PORTFOLIO AREA:</b>	COMMUNITIES
<b>SUBJECT:</b>	NEW HISTORIC RECORDS OFFICE AND RESOURCE CENTRE (THE KEEP)
<b>AUTHOR:</b>	MARK JAGO, JANITA BAGSHAWE

### THE DECISION

- (1) That Cabinet note progress since July 2010, particularly that relating to scheme design and the positive outcome of the planning application.
- (2) That Cabinet note the contents of the detailed report presented to the Joint Project Board on 15 February 2011 (attached as Appendix 1 to the Part 2 report), particularly the Board's recommendations, which have been referred to partners for agreement.
- (3) That Cabinet confirms the council's commitment to 'The Keep' and supports continued partnership working with ESCC and the University of Sussex in moving forward to the construction phase.
- (4)(i) That Cabinet note the request for a higher level of funding from the city council, and the basis of this request as set out in Section 3.30 of this report, and
- (4)(ii) Confirm the council's agreed contribution of £5.345m towards the project's capital costs to practical completion, with 'in principle' agreement to up to an additional £0.270m to be met from the capital financing costs budget, subject to effective management of the project contingency budget, which is expected to reduce the level of additional funding required.
- (5) That Cabinet support the main principles set out in the draft Partnership Collaboration Agreement covering the period through to practical completion (attached as an Appendix to the Part 2 report), and agree that the partners should seek to conclude this as a matter of urgency.
- (6) That Cabinet delegates authority to enter into the Partnership Collaboration Agreement to the Strategic Director Communities and the Head of Law in

consultation with the Cabinet Member for Culture, Recreation & Tourism, and agree that the agreement should be signed by all three partners prior to ESCC entering into the construction contract.

- (7) That Cabinet note the letter of intent submitted by the University of Sussex attached as Appendix 2 to the Part 2 report.
- (8) That Cabinet note the anticipated annual running costs of the Keep, together with the currently anticipated apportionment between the three partners, and agree that the partners should continue to refine this as part of the Partnership Collaboration Agreement; where delegation to enter into that agreement is covered in 2.6 above.
- (9) That Cabinet note the next stages of development and the timetable associated with this as set out in Section 3.61 of this report.
- (10) That Cabinet note the Heads of Terms for the long-term governance agreement, the final form of which will return to a future Cabinet meeting for approval in good time to enable all partners to enter into it prior to practical completion of the building.
- (11) That Cabinet recommends to the Project Board, that it review current project management, Board membership, and reporting arrangements to reflect full partner engagement and that appropriate changes are agreed between the partners.
- (12) That for the reasons set out in the Part 2 report if it is agreed with ESCC that the site **shown on the substituted plan annexed** should be ~~transferred~~ **leased** by ESCC to the Council, to agree that the Head of Legal Services is authorised to complete that transaction.
- (13) That if it is agreed with ESCC that the land should be appropriated for planning purposes, to authorise officers to advertise the intention to appropriate the land shown on the **revised** appended plan (**Appendix 4**) for planning purposes and to delegate to the Strategic Director Communities in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Culture, Recreation & Tourism the consideration of any objections and the final decision whether or not to appropriate the land for planning purposes.

#### **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

The Keep will address the acknowledged problems of long term storage and preservation of the city's historic records and archives. The current facilities are completely inadequate and unsustainable, even in the short to medium term. Failure to address the problems could result in The National Archives removing the licence to hold public records and seek to place the collections elsewhere. The new centre will overcome these problems and will represent the next generation of archive buildings in the UK.

The council has been involved in the project's development over the past 4 years

and has made a significant financial investment to support the project to this point. Good progress has been made and the development of the scheme to RIBA Stage F means there is far greater confidence about financial viability. The project has reached a point where ESCC requires partner commitment before it is able to enter the construction contract; something that would support start on site in the summer of 2011.

#### **DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

The city council has considered alternative options at each stage of the projects development and as part of every previous report to Cabinet and its predecessor bodies. It has previously been acknowledged that to do nothing is not an option given that historic material would continue to deteriorate and lead to loss of public records and historically important archives.

On each occasion it has been concluded that the partnership approach offers the most cost effective solution and that it is consistent with the government's National Archives Policy, where the focus is on delivering fewer, bigger and better facilities.

As set out in the July 2010 report to Cabinet 'The Keep' is considered to be the best and most cost effective solution for the city council to the problem of meeting the council's responsibilities for managing the historical records and will ensure that both legal obligations and TNA standards are met. For the council to consider going it alone could potentially result in two facilities within the city, a duplication of services which would create a negative perception around value for money and would in the future lead to public confusion and competition for grant funding for archive projects within the city.

#### **OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS CONCERNING THE DECISION**

The recommendations were amended to reflect a change in circumstances as explained in the Part Two confidential debate.

#### **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

None.

#### **CONFIRMED AS A TRUE RECORD:**

**We certify that the decision this document records was made in accordance with the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2000 and is a true and accurate record of that decision**

**Date:**

07 April 2011

**Decision Maker:**

Councillor Mary Mears  
Leader of the Council

**Signed:**



07 April 2011

**Proper Officer:**

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

**Signed:**



**SCRUTINY**

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision.

*Or: This decision is urgent and not subject to call-in (date of CE's agreement to urgency of decision).*

**Call-In Period**

8-14 April 2011

**Date of Call-in** *(if applicable) (this suspends implementation)*

**Call-in Procedure completed** *(if applicable)*

**Call-in heard by** *(if applicable)*

**Results of Call-in** *(if applicable)*

Decision No: CAB385 – 17/03/11

Forward Plan No: CAB19907

This record relates to Agenda Item 224 on the agenda for the Decision-Making

## **RECORD OF CABINET DECISION**

**DECISION-MAKER:** CABINET

**PORTFOLIO AREA:** COMMUNITIES

**SUBJECT:** NEW HISTORIC RECORDS OFFICE  
AND RESOURCE CENTRE (THE KEEP)

**AUTHOR:** JANITA BAGSHAWE, MARK JAGO

### **THE DECISION**

(1) That Cabinet agrees the recommendations as detailed in the Part Two confidential report.

### **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

As detailed in the Part Two confidential report.

### **DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

As detailed in the Part Two confidential report.

### **OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS CONCERNING THE DECISION**

None

### **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

None.

### **CONFIRMED AS A TRUE RECORD:**

**We certify that the decision this document records was made in accordance with the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2000 and is a true and accurate record of that decision**

**Date:**

07 April 2011

**Decision Maker:**

Councillor Mary Mears  
Leader of the Council

**Signed:**



**Proper Officer:**

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

**Signed:**



**SCRUTINY**

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision.

*Or: This decision is urgent and not subject to call-in (date of CE's agreement to urgency of decision).*

**Call-In Period**

8-14 April 2011

**Date of Call-in** *(if applicable) (this suspends implementation)*

**Call-in Procedure completed** *(if applicable)*

**Call-in heard by** *(if applicable)*

**Results of Call-in** *(if applicable)*